

RAFT in Emulsion Polymerization

A Two-Part Fugue of Theory and Experiment

Stuart Walker Prescott

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

School of Chemistry
University of Sydney
December 2003

Declaration of Originality

Chapter 3 of this thesis is reprinted from an article to which the author is a contributor. The experimental results reported in this Chapter are entirely the work of the author; the interpretation and presentation of these results was undertaken in collaboration with the coauthors of this paper. Sections of Chapters 1, 2 and 4 are based on a review article prepared by the author with the assistance of the coauthors of that review. Chapter 5 is reprinted from an article to which the author has sole authorship. Chapter 7 reports NMR data collected and analyzed by Dr Roger Mulder (CSIRO Molecular Science) from samples prepared by the author.

With these exceptions, this thesis is the work of the author and contains no material that has been presented for a degree or diploma at this or any other institute of higher education and, to the best of the author's knowledge and belief, contains no copy or paraphrase of work published by another person, except where due acknowledgement is given.

Abstract

The free-radical polymerization of hydrophobic monomers in emulsions is an industrially and scientifically useful means of producing polymers. Resulting products from traditional emulsion polymerizations typically have quite wide distributions of molecular weights and even relatively simple architectures such as A–B blocks are impossible to synthesize. Reversible Addition-Fragmentation chain Transfer (RAFT) polymerization techniques allow unprecedented control over the molecular architecture of polymers made by free-radical polymerization. RAFT/emulsion polymerizations have considerable technical potential, for example to “tailor-make” material properties or to eliminate added surfactant from surface-coating formulations. However, considerable difficulties have been experienced in using RAFT in emulsion polymerization systems.

The successful use of the living radical polymerization technique RAFT is first described for the seeded emulsion polymerization of styrene using the benzyl-stabilized RAFT agent 2-phenylprop-2-yl phenyldithioacetate (PPPDTA). RAFT-mediated polymerization is seen to give both control over the molecular weight and a narrow polydispersity product. The presence of RAFT agent in the monomer droplets at the commencement of polymerization is postulated to be the cause of previous RAFT/emulsion attempts being unsuccessful. The use of γ -initiation of RAFT/emulsion systems is also described; the relaxation behavior on removal from the radiation source gives information about radical loss processes. A reduction in the rate of polymerization and long inhibition periods are observed that are dependent on the concentration of RAFT agent in both chemically- and γ -initiated systems. The characteristic times for γ -relaxations are also seen to be much shorter in the presence of RAFT agents.

Chain-length dependent termination is shown to play an important role in RAFT-mediated emulsion polymerization, with the RAFT agent changing the length of the propagating radical as a function of conversion. At low conversion, the termination rate coefficients are higher than in the absence of RAFT and zero-one kinetics is applicable to

the system; at high conversion, termination is slower and pseudo-bulk kinetics are more appropriate. The observed increase in the number of radicals per particle as polymerization progresses is consistent with the influence of chain-length dependent termination, as is the observed increase in the timescale for relaxation with the increasing length of the dormant chains.

A method is described by which a suitable average rate coefficient for termination may be selected for the Smith–Ewart population balance equations. In some situations, it is possible to easily calculate the Smith–Ewart parameter for termination from the chain-length distribution of radicals analytically, while various numerical techniques (including integration and Monte Carlo simulation) may be used more generally.

RAFT/emulsion systems are shown to have greatly reduced compartmentalization compared to their non-RAFT analogues. The RAFT-induced exit of radicals was estimated to lead to a ~ 400 -fold increase in the rate coefficient for radical exit from the particles, which is consistent with the rapid relaxations observed in γ -relaxation experiments.

The inhibition period of RAFT/emulsion systems is shown to be adequately modeled by zero-one kinetics, once the RAFT-induced exit of radicals, the exit of the re-initiating group from the particle, and the specificity of the re-initiating group to the initial RAFT agent are included.

With the models developed here for RAFT/emulsion systems, strategies for improving the performance of reactions are developed, including the use of lower-activity RAFT agents to improve the compartmentalization of the system. The use of oligomeric adducts to the initial RAFT agent are shown to improve the rate of polymerization by reducing the termination rate coefficients in the system.

Acknowledgements

Many people have contributed a lot to this project over the past four years from inception to completion. Their contributions ranged from teaching me experimental techniques to disbelieving my modeling results and from technical assistance to love and friendship. It would double the length of this thesis to thank everyone who has helped, but I will include as many here as I dare.

My heartfelt thanks to Bob Gilbert, Mat Ballard and Ezio Rizzardo who have been more than just supervisors over the course of this Ph.D. Your help, advice, friendship and wise counsel will always be appreciated and I hope to be able to provide the same in return. Sharing an office with Mat was daunting at first (how many Ph.D. students share an office with the boss?), but it was a great experience with many laughs and much computer-geeking.

The technical assistance and sounding board provided by Heng Taing was wonderful; I hope Heng learnt as much about the kinetics of polymer chemistry from me as I did about the stock market from him. Melissa Skidmore, Roshan Mayadunne and Amanda Finlay are thanked for their time spent patiently teaching me various experimental techniques. The many hours spent by Roger Mulder deciphering NMR spectra are much appreciated.

The numerous trans-Tasman discussions with Kim van Berkel and Greg Russell were greatly valued, as were the fruitful discussions with Greg Warr, John Quinn, Matthew Tonge, Malan Calitz and David Sangster. Chris Ferguson and Brian Hawkett, in particular, provided a constant source of critical appraisal of the modeling work in this project, making for many lively discussions about the exit of radicals from particles. The ever-thoughtful comments of Chris Fellows were also greatly appreciated. Thank you to all the members of the KCPC who made me so welcome each time I was in Sydney and at

Boomerang. The Key Centre for Polymer Colloids is established and supported under the Australian Research Council's Research Centres Program.

The assistance of Michael Monteiro, Wieb Kingma and Christianne Gottgens during my time at the Technische Universiteit Eindhoven is gratefully acknowledged, as is the financial assistance of the Jim O'Donnell Young Scientist Prize from RACI Polymer Division to visit TUE. Thanks also to Stefan Bon and his group at the University of Warwick for their welcoming smiles for a traveling polymer chemist. The financial support of the University of Sydney Postgraduate Research Support Scheme for travel between Sydney and Melbourne and conference travel and registration is also thankfully acknowledged.

Dimitri Alexiev and David Sangster are thanked for their assistance with the γ -radiolysis experiments. Thanks also to the staff of the Lucas Heights Motel who did their best to provide a home-away-from-home-away-from-home during my visits to the Lucas Heights Science and Technology Centre. The γ -radiolysis experiments were made possible by the Australian Institute for Nuclear Science and Engineering.

The generous financial assistance of the Cooperative Research Centre for Polymers and permission to work at CSIRO Molecular Science in Clayton are also gratefully acknowledged. Thanks in particular to Tyson Clugg for help, friendship and advice, and to the many CSIRO staff who permitted me to subvert their computer resources in the name of science.

The sanity check provided by David Lamb throughout my time at the University of Sydney deserves special mention. Being friends since the beginning of first year, he has always taken the time to remind me just how sketchy things really are, as well as providing great ideas for experiments and little expeditions of theory. From the "MacGyver reactor" to Group Theory, it's been a blast – thanks!

Tamara Paravicini and Kaylene Young were great flatmates at “Château Alma” over the first three years of my Ph.D.; the disturbing thought-experiment we used to discuss statistical analysis of data (irradiating bunnies in a γ -source) will haunt me for years to come. Living with scientists researching biological systems gave me a renewed appreciation for the physical sciences and just how nice styrene was as a system to study. I shall never complain about reproducibility problems again.

Peter Stiles (Macquarie University) also deserves many thanks for making the fateful decision to let me loose with a FORTRAN compiler during some work experience in theoretical chemistry in 1993. It was certainly the beginning of the end. My gratitude also to my high-school chemistry teacher Greg Nunan (1994–5), who provided much friendship and encouragement as I became transfixed on a career in chemistry.

Special thanks must go to my family. Anne Prescott, Mina Benzie and Ben Benzie have always encouraged me to challenge myself and to do my best at whatever I set my mind to, and I have so much to thank them for besides. I believe this thesis is a shining example of what they have taught me to do. Thanks also to Terry, Christina and Phil Hart who welcomed me into their home when I moved to Melbourne and provided much love as I “pushed back the frontiers of knowledge”.

Last, but most importantly, my deepest gratitude goes to Judy Hart. The excitement of working on this project has certainly been added to with our adventures buying a home, renovating and getting married all at the same time. Thank you for making me smile, helping me solve differential equations and giving me a hug when I needed one; I hope that I do just as well when it is my time to return the favor.

To everyone who has been a part of this project... thank you!

Stuart Prescott

Publications

Parts of this work have been published in the following journal articles:

S. W. Prescott, M. J. Ballard, E. Rizzardo, R. G. Gilbert

RAFT in Emulsion Polymerization: What Makes It Different.

Aust. J. Chem., **2002**, *55*, 415.

S. W. Prescott, M. J. Ballard, E. Rizzardo, R. G. Gilbert

Successful Use of RAFT Techniques in Seeded Emulsion Polymerization of Styrene: Living Character, RAFT Agent Transport and Rate of Polymerization.

Macromolecules, **2002**, *35*, 5417.

S. W. Prescott, M. J. Ballard, E. Rizzardo, R. G. Gilbert

RAFT Techniques in Emulsion Polymerization: Rate of Polymerization and Radical Phase Transfer Events.

Polymer Preprints, **2002**, *43*, 146.

S. W. Prescott

Chain-Length Dependence in Controlled/Living Radical Polymerizations: Physical Manifestation and Monte Carlo Simulation of Reversible Transfer Agents.

Macromolecules, **2003**, *ASAP*, DOI: 10.1021/ma034845h.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1 (EXPOSITION)

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| RAFT IN EMULSION POLYMERIZATION: WHAT MAKES IT DIFFERENT?..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Abstract | 2 |
| 1.2 Introduction | 2 |
| 1.3 Principles of Living Polymerization | 3 |
| 1.3.1 The RAFT Process | 7 |
| 1.3.2 Non-ideal RAFT Kinetics | 9 |
| 1.4 Emulsion Polymerization | 11 |
| 1.4.1 Radical Entry and Exit | 12 |
| 1.5 Early Studies of RAFT in Emulsion Polymerizations | 15 |
| 1.5.1 Water-Soluble or Not? | 16 |
| 1.5.2 RAFT in Miniemulsion Polymerization | 19 |
| 1.6 Unusual Behavior of RAFT/Emulsion | 20 |
| 1.7 On Theses and Fugues | 20 |
| 1.7.1 Outline of this Thesis | 22 |
| 1.8 References | 23 |

CHAPTER 2 (CODETTA)

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| DEVELOPING RAFT/EMULSION SYSTEMS THAT WORK | 28 |
| 2.1 In Search of Successful Experiments | 29 |
| 2.2 The Problem with Droplets | 29 |
| 2.3 Successful RAFT/Emulsion | 31 |
| 2.4 References | 33 |

CHAPTER 3

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| SUCCESSFUL USE OF RAFT IN EMULSION POLYMERIZATION | 35 |
| 3.1 Abstract | 36 |
| 3.2 Introduction | 36 |
| 3.2.1 Review of Previous Studies | 37 |
| 3.2.2 RAFT Agent Transport | 38 |
| 3.2.3 Seeded Emulsion Polymerization | 39 |
| 3.2.4 RAFT Techniques | 40 |
| 3.3 Experimental | 41 |
| 3.3.1 Materials | 41 |
| 3.3.2 Synthesis of RAFT Agent | 41 |
| 3.3.3 Preparation of Polystyrene Seed | 42 |
| 3.3.4 Acetone Transport Technique | 43 |
| 3.3.5 Determination of Acetone Residue | 43 |
| 3.3.6 Hydrolysis of RAFT Agent | 43 |
| 3.3.7 Seeded Emulsion Polymerizations | 44 |
| 3.3.8 Latex Characterization | 45 |

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3.4 | Results and Discussion..... | 48 |
| 3.4.1 | Molecular Weight Control..... | 48 |
| 3.4.2 | Evolution of the Molecular Weight Distribution..... | 50 |
| 3.4.3 | Rate of Polymerization..... | 52 |
| 3.4.4 | Mechanistic Discussion..... | 53 |
| 3.5 | Conclusions..... | 60 |
| 3.6 | Acknowledgements..... | 61 |
| 3.7 | Appendix: Simplification of Model for Probability of Radical Exit..... | 61 |
| 3.7.1 | Mathematical Abstraction and Simplification..... | 62 |
| 3.8 | References..... | 64 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 4 (EPISODE I) | | |
| DEVELOPING THEORY TO UNDERSTAND EXPERIMENT 67 | | |
| 4.1 | RAFT/Emulsion Can Work..... | 68 |
| 4.2 | Remaining Difficulties..... | 69 |
| 4.2.1 | Inhibition and Retardation..... | 69 |
| 4.2.2 | Chemical Causes of Inhibition and Retardation..... | 70 |
| 4.2.3 | Physical Causes of Inhibition and Retardation..... | 73 |
| 4.3 | Treatment of Kinetic Experiments..... | 74 |
| 4.3.1 | Zero-One or Pseudo-Bulk?..... | 75 |
| 4.4 | Development of Understanding..... | 76 |
| 4.5 | References..... | 76 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 5 | | |
| CHAIN-LENGTH DEPENDENCE IN LIVING POLYMERIZATION 79 | | |
| 5.1 | Abstract..... | 80 |
| 5.2 | Introduction..... | 80 |
| 5.2.1 | Free-Radical Polymerization in Confined Systems: Particle Growth Kinetics..... | 84 |
| 5.2.2 | Chain-Length Dependent Reactions..... | 86 |
| 5.3 | Theoretical Section..... | 86 |
| 5.3.1 | Mechanisms Under Consideration..... | 86 |
| 5.3.2 | Choice of Modeling Approach..... | 88 |
| 5.3.3 | Monte Carlo Model..... | 88 |
| 5.3.4 | Calculation of Rate Coefficients..... | 91 |
| 5.4 | Model Implementation..... | 92 |
| 5.5 | Results..... | 95 |
| 5.5.1 | Consistency with Analytic Solutions..... | 95 |
| 5.5.2 | Influence of Dormant Chain Length..... | 96 |
| 5.5.3 | Influence of Dormant Chain Concentration..... | 99 |
| 5.5.4 | Influence of Chain Transfer Constant..... | 101 |
| 5.5.5 | Chain Length of Terminating Species..... | 102 |
| 5.6 | Discussion..... | 104 |
| 5.6.1 | Origin of CLD Effects..... | 105 |
| 5.6.2 | Experimental Comparison..... | 108 |
| 5.6.3 | Implications for Experimental Design..... | 110 |
| 5.7 | Conclusions..... | 112 |

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.8 | Acknowledgements | 113 |
| 5.9 | Appendix | 113 |
| 5.9.1 | Analytic Understanding of CLD Termination in Emulsion Polymerization..... | 113 |
| 5.9.2 | Chain Transfer Coefficient of <i>n</i> -Butyl Acrylate | 117 |
| 5.10 | References | 120 |
| CHAPTER 6 (EPISODE II) | | |
| ON THE SMITH-EWART EQUATIONS AND RAFT/EMULSION..... 125 | | |
| 6.1 | Kinetics of Particle Growth..... | 126 |
| 6.2 | The Smith–Ewart Equations..... | 126 |
| 6.2.1 | Exited Radicals and the Smith–Ewart Equations..... | 128 |
| 6.2.2 | Full Treatment of Polymer Kinetics..... | 130 |
| 6.2.3 | Solution of the Smith–Ewart Equations..... | 131 |
| 6.2.4 | Limits of the Smith–Ewart Equations..... | 134 |
| 6.3 | Chain-Length Dependence and Smith–Ewart Kinetics..... | 140 |
| 6.3.1 | Overall Strategy | 142 |
| 6.3.2 | Average Termination Rate Coefficient in Zero-One-Two Kinetics..... | 143 |
| 6.3.3 | Average Termination Rate Coefficients in Generalized Smith-Ewart Systems..... | 151 |
| 6.4 | Principles of γ -Relaxation | 153 |
| 6.5 | References | 154 |
| CHAPTER 7 | | |
| COMPARTMENTALIZATION IN RAFT/EMULSION..... 157 | | |
| 7.1 | Abstract | 158 |
| 7.2 | Introduction..... | 158 |
| 7.2.1 | RAFT in Seeded Emulsion Polymerization..... | 161 |
| 7.2.2 | Chain-Length Dependent Termination | 163 |
| 7.2.3 | The Nature of Compartmentalization..... | 166 |
| 7.2.4 | Particle Growth Kinetics..... | 167 |
| 7.2.5 | Relaxations and Radical Exit | 168 |
| 7.2.6 | Adsorption and Desorption of Radicals..... | 170 |
| 7.2.7 | RAFT Polymerization with γ -Initiation | 171 |
| 7.3 | Theoretical Section..... | 171 |
| 7.3.1 | Treatment of Dilatometry Data | 171 |
| 7.3.2 | Monte Carlo Simulation of RAFT/Emulsion..... | 173 |
| 7.3.3 | Semi-Quantitative Treatment of Monte Carlo Results..... | 175 |
| 7.3.4 | Desorption of Oligomeric Radicals..... | 176 |
| 7.4 | Experimental Section | 183 |
| 7.4.1 | Materials | 183 |
| 7.4.2 | Radical Storage | 183 |
| 7.4.3 | Seeded Emulsion Polymerization | 184 |
| 7.4.4 | NMR Analyses..... | 184 |
| 7.4.5 | Dilatometry | 185 |
| 7.4.6 | Particle Sizing | 185 |
| 7.4.7 | Molecular Weight Distribution | 186 |
| 7.5 | Results | 186 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 7.5.1 | Radical Storage | 186 |
| 7.5.2 | Molecular Weight Control | 188 |
| 7.5.3 | Rate of Polymerization | 189 |
| 7.5.4 | Relaxations | 191 |
| 7.6 | Discussion | 193 |
| 7.6.1 | Loss of Compartmentalization | 194 |
| 7.6.2 | Qualitative Treatment of Relaxations | 195 |
| 7.6.3 | Semi-Quantitative Prediction of Relaxation Behavior | 196 |
| 7.6.4 | Simple Model of RAFT/Emulsion | 198 |
| 7.7 | Conclusions | 199 |
| 7.8 | Acknowledgements | 200 |
| 7.9 | Supporting Information | 201 |
| 7.9.1 | Experimental Procedures | 201 |
| 7.9.2 | Analysis of NMR Results | 202 |
| 7.10 | References | 204 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 8 (EPISODE III) | | |
| USING THEORY TO DEVELOP EXPERIMENT | | |
| 210 | | |
| 8.1 | Radical Exit in RAFT/Emulsion | 211 |
| 8.2 | Role of the RAFT Re-initiating Radical | 212 |
| 8.3 | Designing New Experiments | 214 |
| 8.3.1 | Reducing Inhibition | 214 |
| 8.3.2 | Reducing Retardation | 215 |
| 8.4 | References | 215 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 9 | | |
| IMPROVING PERFORMANCE OF RAFT/EMULSION | | |
| 217 | | |
| 9.1 | Abstract | 218 |
| 9.2 | Introduction | 218 |
| 9.2.1 | Chain-Length Dependence in RAFT/Emulsion | 219 |
| 9.2.2 | Particle Growth Kinetics | 221 |
| 9.2.3 | Exit of Small Radicals | 222 |
| 9.2.4 | Improving RAFT/Emulsion systems | 224 |
| 9.3 | Modeling RAFT Polymerization | 225 |
| 9.3.1 | Monte Carlo simulation of RAFT/Emulsion | 225 |
| 9.3.2 | Chain-Length Distribution of Dormant Chains | 226 |
| 9.3.3 | Concentration of Short Dormant Chains | 227 |
| 9.3.4 | Exit of the RAFT Re-initiating Group | 228 |
| 9.3.5 | Modeling Altered Experimental Conditions | 236 |
| 9.4 | Results | 238 |
| 9.4.1 | Distribution of Dormant Chain Lengths | 238 |
| 9.4.2 | Fate of Short Dormant Chains | 239 |
| 9.4.3 | Fate of RAFT Re-initiating Group | 241 |
| 9.4.4 | Altering Experimental Conditions | 242 |
| 9.5 | Discussion | 243 |
| 9.5.1 | Short Dormant Chains | 243 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|
| 9.5.2 Fate of Re-initiating Group | 245 |
| 9.5.3 Understanding of RAFT/Emulsion | 249 |
| 9.5.4 Improving RAFT/Emulsion | 254 |
| 9.6 Conclusions | 255 |
| 9.7 Acknowledgements | 257 |
| 9.8 References | 257 |

CHAPTER 10 (CADENZA)

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| CONCLUSIONS | 262 |
| 10.1 Conclusions from Experiments | 263 |
| 10.1.1 RAFT/Emulsion is Possible | 263 |
| 10.1.2 Droplets, Colloidal Stability and Molecular Weight Control | 263 |
| 10.1.3 Difficulties with RAFT/Emulsion | 264 |
| 10.2 Conclusions from Theory | 264 |
| 10.2.1 Chain-Length Dependent Reactions | 264 |
| 10.2.2 Radical Compartmentalization | 266 |
| 10.2.3 Particle Growth Kinetics | 267 |
| 10.3 Drawing Together Theory and Experiment | 268 |
| 10.4 The Show's Not Over Till the Fat Lady Sings | 269 |

APPENDICES (ENCORE)

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| FROM SMOOTHING TO FUGUING | 271 |
| A.1 Dilatometry: Experiment and Theory | 272 |
| A.1.1 Experimental Procedures for Dilatometry | 272 |
| A.1.2 Obtaining Data from Dilatometry | 273 |
| A.1.3 Obtaining Kinetic Parameters from Relaxations | 279 |
| A.1.4 Data Processing for Dilatometry | 282 |
| A.2 Particle Growth Kinetics & Dilatometry | 284 |
| A.2.1 Limit 1: Complete Aqueous-Phase Termination | 284 |
| A.2.2 Limit 2: Negligible Aqueous-Phase Termination | 285 |
| A.2.3 Limit 3: Rapid Exchange of Radicals | 286 |
| A.2.4 Pseudo-bulk Systems with Few Radicals | 287 |
| A.3 Aqueous-Phase Kinetics | 290 |
| A.3.1 Maxwell–Morrison Model for Entry of Oligomeric Radicals | 290 |
| A.3.2 Acknowledgements | 298 |
| A.4 Exit of Radicals from Particles | 299 |
| A.4.1 Monomer and Monomer-Like Molecules | 299 |
| A.4.2 Other Molecules – More General Treatment | 302 |
| A.5 Initiation Using a γ -Source | 305 |
| A.5.1 Initiating Radicals | 305 |
| A.5.2 Estimating the Radical Flux from a γ -Source | 308 |
| A.5.3 Acknowledgements | 309 |
| A.6 Mass-Balance in Emulsion Polymerization | 310 |
| A.6.1 Monomer Concentrations | 310 |
| A.6.2 Decomposition of Persulfate Initiator | 311 |
| A.6.3 Interval II/III Transition | 312 |

| | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| A.6.4 | Particle Number, Particle Size and Percentage Solids | 313 |
| A.6.5 | Initial and Final Particle Sizes | 314 |
| A.6.6 | Relating Swollen and Unswollen Radii | 314 |
| A.6.7 | Calculating the Weight-Fraction of Polymer | 315 |
| A.7 | Notes of Fugal Form and Research | 316 |
| A.7.1 | Acknowledgements | 317 |
| A.8 | “Fuguing by Doing” – Harold Owen | 318 |
| A.8.1 | Acknowledgements | 320 |
| A.9 | References | 321 |

List of Figures

CHAPTER 1

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1 Chain growth by classical and living polymerization | 4 |
| 1.2 Making block copolymers with RAFT | 5 |
| 1.3 Making gradient copolymers with RAFT | 5 |
| 1.4 Dormant chain formation with unimolecular activation | 6 |
| 1.5 Dormant chain formation with transfer of radical activity | 7 |
| 1.6 Maxwell–Morrison model of radical entry in emulsion polymerization..... | 12 |
| 1.7 Mechanism for radical exit in emulsion polymerization | 13 |
| 1.8 Experimental set-up for dilatometry and γ -radiolysis | 14 |

CHAPTER 2

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 Schematic of entry mechanisms in emulsion polymerization | 30 |
| 2.2 Schematic of the acetone transport technique | 32 |

CHAPTER 3

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.1 Control over number-average molecular weight | 49 |
| 3.2 Evolution of the MWD for low-initiator system | 50 |
| 3.3 Evolution of the MWD for high-initiator system | 51 |
| 3.4 Evolution of number-average molecular weight | 51 |
| 3.5 Conversion/time plots with varying initiator concentration | 53 |
| 3.6 Propagation/exit/transfer to RAFT event scheme | 62 |
| 3.7 Simplified event scheme using mathematical limit | 63 |

CHAPTER 5

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1 Schematic of the physical system for the Monte Carlo model | 87 |
| 5.2 Monte Carlo/analytic comparison | 96 |
| 5.3 Influence of dormant chain length on radical lifetime | 98 |
| 5.4 Influence of dormant chain concentration on radical lifetimes | 99 |
| 5.5 Influence of chain transfer constant on radical lifetime | 102 |
| 5.6 Fraction of termination reactions that are short-long | 103 |
| 5.7 Schematic showing influence of RAFT agent on termination reactions | 105 |
| 5.8 Radical lifetimes in the emulsion polymerization of butyl acrylate..... | 118 |

CHAPTER 6

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 6.1 Schematic for the Smith–Ewart equations | 127 |
| 6.3 Smith–Ewart \bar{n} surface with complete re-entry | 133 |
| 6.4 Zero-one \bar{n} surface with complete re-entry and minimal re-escape | 135 |
| 6.5 Error in zero-one calculation of \bar{n} with complete re-entry and minimal re-escape | 135 |
| 6.6 Pseudo-bulk \bar{n} surface | 136 |
| 6.7 Error in \bar{n} calculated using pseudo-bulk kinetics | 137 |
| 6.8 Zero-one-two \bar{n} surface with complete re-entry and minimal re-escape | 138 |

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 6.9 | Error in \bar{n} calculated using zero-one-two kinetics..... | 138 |
| 6.10 | Best fit of \bar{n} to the Smith–Ewart equations..... | 139 |
| CHAPTER 7 | | |
| 7.1 | Schematic of transfer to monomer, exit and re-entry | 169 |
| 7.2 | Schematic of RAFT-induced exit mechanism..... | 178 |
| 7.3 | Test of radical storage mechanism for PPPDTA..... | 187 |
| 7.4 | Control of molecular weight using γ -initiation | 189 |
| 7.5 | Reduction in rate of polymerization with increasing [RAFT]..... | 190 |
| 7.6 | Increase in \bar{n} with conversion for KPS and γ -initiation..... | 190 |
| 7.7 | Representative relaxation data with and without RAFT | 192 |
| 7.8 | Chain-length dependence of the relaxation and semi-quantitative Monte Carlo data..... | 192 |
| 7.9 | Chain-length dependence of $\langle k_t \rangle$ from γ -relaxations | 193 |
| 7.10 | Schematic showing influence of RAFT in relaxation process | 196 |
| 7.11 | Quantitative ^{13}C spectrum from the PPPDTA/styrene irradiation at 50°C | 203 |
| 7.12 | HSQC spectrum of the irradiated sample..... | 204 |
| CHAPTER 9 | | |
| 9.1 | Schematic of reaction pathways in the zero-one model of inhibition | 230 |
| 9.2 | Experimentally determined inhibition periods | 235 |
| 9.3 | Quantifying the inhibition period as 99.9% consumption of initial RAFT agent | 236 |
| 9.4 | Robustness of the Monte Carlo model to a distribution of dormant species..... | 238 |
| 9.5 | Steady-state number of dormant z -mers in the particles | 239 |
| 9.6 | Time-derivative of the number of z -meric dormant chains in a particle | 240 |
| 9.7 | Inhibition periods from experiment and zero-one model..... | 241 |
| 9.8 | Improvement in radical lifetime using an oligomeric adduct to the RAFT agent..... | 242 |
| 9.9 | Improvement in c using an oligomeric adduct to the RAFT agent | 243 |
| 9.10 | Probability of an entering radical forming a dormant z -mer | 245 |
| 9.11 | Improvement in inhibition periods due to various changes to the RAFT agent..... | 248 |
| CHAPTER 10 | | |
| 10.1 | Schematic of transition from zero-one to pseudo-bulk kinetics..... | 265 |

List of Schemes

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1.1 | The RAFT mechanism | 8 |
| 3.1 | RAFT agent PPPDTA | 40 |
| 7.1 | RAFT agent PPPDTA | 162 |
| 7.2 | Model intermediate radical termination compound | 203 |
| 8.1 | Canonical structure of a RAFT agent..... | 212 |
| 8.2 | Equilibrium for the fragmentation of the initial RAFT agent | 213 |
| 9.1 | Equilibrium for the fragmentation of the initial RAFT agent | 224 |
| A.1 | Data-smoothing algorithm for dilatometry data | 282 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3.1 | Parameters for probability of cumyl radical exit calculation | 57 |
| 3.2 | Calculated probability of cumyl radical exit | 57 |
| 5.1 | Varying parameters for the Monte Carlo model..... | 93 |
| 5.2 | Chain transfer constant values and representative RAFT agents | 93 |
| 5.3 | System specific parameters for the Monte Carlo model..... | 94 |
| 7.1 | Styrene, MMA and BA parameters for calculating k | 182 |
| 7.2 | System parameters for calculation of k | 182 |
| 7.3 | Comparison of k for various monomers and styrene with RAFT | 183 |
| 9.1 | Parameters for zero-one simulation of inhibition period..... | 233 |
| 9.2 | Parameters for the RAFT agent used in zero-one simulation..... | 234 |
| A.1 | Radiation chemical yield of initiating radicals from water. | 306 |
| A.2 | Radiation chemical yield of monomeric radicals from water..... | 307 |

Glossary of Symbols and Abbreviations

| symbol | definition | typical units |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| a | root-mean-square end-to-end distance per square root of the number of monomer units in the polymer chain | nm |
| A | ratio between the rate of conversion and \bar{n} (in analysis of Interval II data) | |
| A | ratio between the pseudo-first-order rate of conversion (<i>i.e.</i> $d[-\ln(1-x)]/dt$) and \bar{n} (in analysis of Interval III data) | |
| AA | acrylic acid (monomer) | |
| ATRP | atom-transfer radical polymerization | |
| BA | butyl acrylate (monomer) | |
| c | Smith–Ewart pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the (second-order) annihilation of radicals | s^{-1} |
| $c(t'')$ | abbreviation of $c(t, t', t'')$ | s^{-1} |
| $c(t, t', t'')$ | parameter c (as above) in a doubly-distinguished particle N_2'' with a dependence on t, t' , and t'' . | s^{-1} |
| $c_0(t)$ | normalization of $\bar{c}(t)$ | s^{-1} |
| c_F | contraction factor in dilatometry calculations | $cm^3 g^{-1}$ |
| c_{rd} | contribution of reaction-diffusion to c | s^{-1} |
| c_{trM} | contribution of transfer to monomer to c | s^{-1} |
| c^{1L} | pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the termination of a monomeric radical with a long radical | s^{-1} |
| c^{SL} | pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the termination of a short radical with a long radical | s^{-1} |
| $\bar{c}(t)$ | instantaneous value of c , averaged over the chain-length distribution of all radicals present in the system | s^{-1} |
| c^* | concentration of polymer where chains overlap | $mol dm^{-3}$ |
| c^{**} | concentration of polymer where chains are entangled | $mol dm^{-3}$ |
| C_1 | normalization for the time-evolution of N_2'' species | |
| C_w | concentration of monomer in the aqueous phase | $mol dm^{-3}$ |
| C_w^R | concentration of the species R in the aqueous phase | $mol dm^{-3}$ |
| C_w^{sat} | saturation concentration of monomer in the aqueous phase | $mol dm^{-3}$ |

| | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| C_p | concentration of monomer in the particles | mol dm^{-3} |
| C_p^R | concentration of the species R in the particle phase | mol dm^{-3} |
| C_p^{sat} | saturation concentration of monomer in the particles | mol dm^{-3} |
| C_{tr} | transfer constant for a polymeric radical with an RTA, $C_{\text{tr}} = k_{\text{tr,RAFT}}/k_p$ | |
| $C_{-\text{tr}}$ | transfer constant for an R^* radical with an RTA, $C_{-\text{tr}} = k_{-\text{tr,RAFT}}/k_{\text{add}}^R$ | |
| C_z | concentration of dormant z -meric radicals in a particle | mol dm^{-3} |
| CHDF | capillary hydrodynamic fractionation (method for determining particle size) | |
| CLD | chain length dependent | |
| d_m | density of monomer | g cm^{-3} |
| d_p | density of polymer | g cm^{-3} |
| D | dormant chain | |
| D_i | dormant chain of length i | |
| D_P | dormant chain with a polymeric leaving group (<i>i.e.</i> dormant polymeric chain) | |
| D_R | dormant species with an R group (<i>i.e.</i> initial RAFT agent) | |
| D_1 | diffusion coefficient of the monomeric radical in a particle | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $D_i(N)$ | instantaneous chain-length distribution of the dormant chains in a particle with i radicals | |
| D_w | diffusion coefficient of the monomeric radical in water | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D^{ij} | mutual diffusion coefficient for an i -meric and j -meric chain | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D^{rd} | diffusion coefficient for the chain end for reaction-diffusion | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D_i | abbreviation for D_i^{com} | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D_i^{com} | center-of-mass diffusion coefficient of an i -mer | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D_R | diffusion coefficient of the species R (or R^*) in water | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D_w^R | diffusion coefficient of the species R (or R^*) in water | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D_{IR} | mutual diffusion coefficient for non-identical initiator-derived radicals for “hetero-termination” | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| D_{II} | mutual diffusion coefficient for identical initiator-derived radicals for “homo-termination” | $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ |

| | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| DRI | differential refractive index; commonly used response from GPC instrument | |
| E^\bullet | exited monomeric radical | |
| [E] | concentration of exited monomeric radicals | mol dm^{-3} |
| ESR | electron spin resonance (method for identifying and quantifying the radicals present) | |
| f | initiator efficiency in emulsion polymerization, calculated from Maxwell–Morrison theory for entry | |
| f_{ll} | fraction of all termination reactions that are long–long | |
| f_{zl} | fraction of all termination reactions that are z-meric–long | |
| $G_i(N)$ | instantaneous chain-length distribution of the radicals in a particle with i radicals | |
| GPC | gel permeation chromatography, also known as size exclusion chromatography; method for determining the molecular weight distribution | |
| h_t | height of the meniscus in the capillary at any given time, relative to the initial height | μm |
| h_{100} | height of the meniscus in the capillary relative to the initial height at 100% conversion | μm |
| i | integer (<i>e.g.</i> for chain length or number of radicals in a particle) | |
| I–I | undecomposed initiator molecule | |
| I | initiator molecule | |
| I^\bullet | decomposed initiator fragment | |
| IM_i^\bullet | polymeric or oligomeric radical with degree of polymerization i | |
| j | integer (<i>e.g.</i> for chain length) | |
| j_{crit} | critical degree of polymerization for chain collapse | |
| k | (as a subscript or superscript) integer (<i>e.g.</i> for chain length) | |
| k | Smith–Ewart pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the desorption of radicals from particles | s^{-1} |
| k_{az} | second-order rate coefficient for the adsorption of a z-meric radical onto the surface of a particle from the aqueous phase | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| k_{ads} | second-order rate coefficient for the adsorption of a monomeric radical onto the surface of a particle from the aqueous phase | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{\text{add}}^{\text{R}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for the addition of the RAFT re-initiating radical (R^{\bullet}) to monomer | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_{cr} | pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for radical exit assuming the complete re-entry of exited radicals | s^{-1} |
| k_{ct} | pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for radical exit assuming the complete aqueous-phase termination of radicals | s^{-1} |
| k_{dM} | first-order rate coefficient for the desorption of a monomeric radical from a particle into the aqueous phase | s^{-1} |
| k_{dz} | first-order rate coefficient for the desorption of a z -meric radical from a particle into the aqueous phase | s^{-1} |
| k_{d} | first-order rate coefficient for the dissociation of initiator | s^{-1} |
| k_{dR} | first-order rate coefficient for the desorption of an R^{\bullet} radical from a particle into the aqueous phase | s^{-1} |
| k_{exit} | first-order rate coefficient for the exit of radicals from a particle | s^{-1} |
| k_{e}^z | second-order rate coefficient for the entry of a z -meric radical into a particle | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_{e}^{R} | second-order rate coefficient for the entry of a exited R^{\bullet} radical into a particle | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_{p} | second-order rate coefficient for propagation | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_{pI} | second-order rate coefficient for addition of the initiator-fragment, I^{\bullet} , to monomer | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_{p}^i | second-order rate coefficient for propagation of a i -mer | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{\text{p,aq}}^i$ | second-order rate coefficient for aqueous phase propagation of a i -mer | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_{t} | second-order rate coefficient for the termination of radicals in the particles, usually meaning average rate coefficient $\langle k_{\text{t}} \rangle$ | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $\langle k_{\text{t}} \rangle$ | average second-order rate coefficient for the termination of radicals in the particles; average is over distribution of radicals | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{\text{t,aq}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for radical termination in the aqueous phase | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| $k_{t, \text{aq}}^{\text{R}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for radical termination between two non-identical initiator-derived radicals in the aqueous phase | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_t^{ij} | second-order rate coefficient for radical termination between an i -meric radical and a j -meric radical | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_t^{\text{long-long}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for radical termination between two long radicals | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_t^{\text{short-long}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for radical termination between a short radical and a long radical | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_t^{\text{short-short}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for radical termination between two short radicals | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| k_{tr} | second-order rate coefficient for the transfer to monomer | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{\text{tr,D}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for the transfer to a dormant species | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{\text{tr,RAFT}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for the transfer of radical activity to a dormant RAFT species | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{\text{tr,RAFT}}^1$ | second-order rate coefficient for the transfer of radical activity to the initial RAFT species | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| $k_{-\text{tr,RAFT}}$ | second-order rate coefficient for the transfer of radical activity from an R^\bullet radical to a dormant RAFT species | $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| long | indicates that the length of the species is such that entanglement is important and diffusion is slow (≥ 40) | |
| L | indicates that the length of the species is “long” | |
| m | length of a radical chain in zero-one-two theory | |
| m_{mon} | mass of monomer | g |
| m_{p} | mass of polymer | g |
| M | monomer unit | |
| M^\bullet | monomeric radical | |
| M_0 | molecular mass of a monomer unit | |
| \overline{M}_n | number-average molecular weight | |
| $\overline{M}_n^{\text{pred}}$ | predicted number-average molecular weight | |
| \overline{M}_w | weight-average molecular weight | |
| MMA | methyl methacrylate (monomer) | |
| MWD | molecular weight distribution | |

| | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| n | length of a radical chain in zero-one-two theory | |
| n_D | number of D species in a particle | |
| n_I^f | final amount of initiator in the system | mol |
| n_I^i | initial amount of initiator in the system | mol |
| n_I | number of initiator-derived radicals denoted “ <i>i</i> -mers” for the “homo-termination” reaction | |
| n_R | number of initiator-derived radicals not denoted “ <i>i</i> -mers” for the “hetero-termination” reaction | |
| n_k^D | number of D_k species in the particle | |
| n_m^0 | amount of monomer per unit volume of aqueous phase | mol dm ⁻³ |
| n_{RAFT} | number of moles of RAFT agent added | mol |
| n_{ZX} | number of dormant <i>z</i> -meric species (ZX) per particle | |
| \bar{n} | average number of radicals per particle | |
| \bar{n}_i | initial \bar{n} in a system (steady state before relaxation) | |
| \bar{n}_{sp} | \bar{n} in a system with only spontaneous initiation | |
| $\overline{n^2}$ | average of the square of the number of radicals per particle | |
| N_0 | number concentration of particles containing no radicals | dm ⁻³ |
| N_1^p | number concentration of particles containing one polymeric radical | dm ⁻³ |
| N_1^R | number concentration of particles containing one R [*] radical | dm ⁻³ |
| $N_2''(t'')$ | abbreviation of $N_2''(t, t', t'')$, the population of doubly distinguished particles, normalized so that $\sum N_i = 1$ | |
| N_A | Avogadro constant | mol ⁻¹ |
| N_c | number concentration of particles in the aqueous phase | dm ⁻³ |
| N_i | population of particles with <i>i</i> polymeric radicals, normalized so that $\sum N_i = 1$ | |
| N_i | number concentration of particles with <i>i</i> polymeric radicals (normalized such that $\sum N_i = N_c$) | dm ⁻³ |
| NMP | nitroxide-mediated polymerization | |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance (method for identifying the chemical species present, esp. for organic compounds) | |
| p^{ij} | probability that an <i>i</i> -meric radical will terminate with a <i>j</i> -meric radical in an encounter | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| P^\bullet | polymeric radical | |
| P_j | probability of an entering radical consuming at least j monomer units before termination | |
| $P_j(t)$ | probability of an entering radical consuming at least j monomer units before termination, as measured at a given time t (hence w_p) since the system reached a (quasi-) steady state | |
| P_n | polymeric chain with degree of polymerization n | |
| P_n^\bullet | polymeric radical with degree of polymerization n | |
| $P(A)$ | probability of event “ A ” occurring (<i>e.g.</i> $P(P^\bullet + M)$ is the probability of the propagation reaction occurring) | |
| $P(\text{exit-M})$ | probability of a M^\bullet species exiting a particle | |
| $P(\text{exit-Z})$ | probability of a z -meric radical exiting a particle | |
| PD | polydispersity of the polymer sample $PD = \overline{M}_w/\overline{M}_n$ | |
| PLP | pulse laser polymerization experiment (to determine k_p , k_t etc.) | |
| PPPDTA | RAFT agent 2-phenylprop-2-yl phenyldithioacetate: $\text{Ph}-\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_2-\text{S}-\text{C}(=\text{S})-\text{CH}_2-\text{Ph}$ | |
| r | radius of a particles | nm |
| r_c | radius of the capillary in the dilatometry experiment | mm |
| r_I | interaction radius of radicals denoted “ i -mers” for the “homo-termination” reaction | |
| r_R | interaction radius of radicals denoted “ i -mers” for the “hetero-termination” reaction | |
| r_s | swollen radius of the particles | nm |
| r_u | unswollen radius of the particles | nm |
| R | leaving group (re-initiating group) of the RAFT agent | |
| R^\bullet | re-initiating radical | |
| R^\bullet | generic radical species (Maxwell–Morrison theory) | |
| R^2 | measure of goodness of fit, esp. for linear fits | |
| $R_{\text{coll}}^{\text{I+I}}$ | rate of collision of identical radicals leading to the “homo-termination” reaction, $\text{IM}_i^\bullet + \text{IM}_i^\bullet$ | $\text{mol dm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |
| $R_{\text{coll}}^{\text{I+R}}$ | rate of collision of non-identical radicals leading to the “hetero-termination” reaction, $\text{IM}_i^\bullet + R^\bullet$ | $\text{mol dm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| $R_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{I+I}}$ | rate of “homo-termination”, $\text{IM}_i^\bullet + \text{R}^\bullet$ | $\text{mol dm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |
| $R_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{I+R}}$ | rate of “hetero-termination”, $\text{IM}_i^\bullet + \text{R}^\bullet$ | $\text{mol dm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |
| R_p | rate of polymerization | $\text{mol dm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |
| RAFT | reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer | |
| RTA | reversible transfer agent, <i>e.g.</i> a RAFT agent | |
| short | indicates that the length of the species is such that entanglement is unimportant and diffusion is fast (≈ 10) | |
| Sty | styrene (monomer) | |
| SEC | size exclusion chromatography, see GPC | |
| t | time during a reaction, measured either from when the initiator was added (assuming no inhibition) or from when the system was removed from the γ -source | s |
| t | time since the reaction reached a (quasi-) steady state (in the case of zero-one-two theory) | s |
| t' | time for which a distinguished radical grew in a particle with one radical | s |
| t'' | time that the two distinguished radicals coexist | s |
| t_z | time adjustment to account for the entering radical having non-zero length (equivalent to the age of a z -meric radical were it to grow within the particle) | s |
| T | temperature | K or $^\circ\text{C}$ |
| T_R | total concentration of radical species in the aqueous phase able to undergo termination reactions | mol dm^{-3} |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran, the solvent used for GPC molecular weight determination | |
| U_i | uniform random deviate (number) on the interval [0,1] | |
| UV-Vis | ultra-violet/visible spectroscopy (method for quantifying the amount of a chromophore present) | |
| V_0 | initial volume of the reaction mixture in the dilatometer | dm^3 |
| V_{aq} | volume of the aqueous phase in the reactor | dm^3 |
| V_m | volume of monomer used | cm^3 |
| V_s | swollen volume of a particle | dm^3 |
| V_t | volume of the reaction mixture in the dilatometer at a time t , calculated from the height of the meniscus h_t | dm^3 |

| | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| w_p | weight fraction of polymer in the system | |
| x | fractional conversion of monomer to polymer | |
| $x^{II/III}$ | conversion at which monomer droplets disappear (Interval II to Interval III transition) | |
| X | chemical symbol representing the dormant end cap on a chain (in the case of RAFT, the thiocarbonylthio group) | |
| X_d | length of the dormant chains in the system | |
| $\bar{X}_{n,d}$ | number average degree of polymerization | |
| z | critical degree of polymerization for a chain to become surface active | |
| Z | activating group of the RAFT agent | |
| ZX | dormant z -meric chain ${}^{-}IM_z-X$ | |
| α | fate parameter for exited radicals on $[-1,1]$ | |
| β | exponent for the chain-length dependence of the diffusion of polymeric species (in general, may be a function of w_p , hence of t); physical values are $\beta \in [0,2]$ | |
| χ_R | mole fraction of the species R | |
| Δ_i | CLD sum of the frequency of all events that may occur to an i -meric radical in a particle | |
| $\bar{\Delta}_m$ | average change in the number of monomer units in a particle as a result of a radical entering the particle | |
| δt_{\max} | maximum deviation from the current time which is permissible in a Monte Carlo simulation | s |
| γ | γ -radiation from a ${}^{60}\text{Co}$ radioactive source | |
| $\Gamma(b, z)$ | incomplete gamma function, $\Gamma(b, z) = \int_z^{\infty} t^{b-1} e^{-t} dt$ | |
| ρ | Smith–Ewart pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the entry of radicals into particles | s^{-1} |
| ρ_i | pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the entry of initiator derived radicals into a particle | s^{-1} |
| ρ_m | density of monomer | g cm^{-3} |
| ρ_p | density of polymer | g cm^{-3} |
| ρ_{spon} | pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the entry of spontaneously generated radicals into a particle, sometimes denoted ρ_{thermal} | s^{-1} |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| ρ | pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the entry of all radicals into a particle | s^{-1} |
| σ | interaction radius for the reaction of two radicals | nm |
| τ | average time-step of a process | s |
| % w/w | percentage contents of a material on a weight-in-weight basis | |
| $[a,b]$ | interval described by x where $a \leq x \leq b$ | |
| $\{a, b, \dots\}$ | set containing elements a, b , etc. | |
| \approx | is approximately equal to | |
| \gg | is much greater than | |
| \nrightarrow | is not much greater than | |
| \ll | is much less than | |
| \nleftarrow | is not much less than | |
| $\prod_{i=a}^b f(i)$ | product of $f(i)$ for all $i \in [a,b]$, where a and b are integers | |
| $\sum_{i=a}^b f(i)$ | sum of $f(i)$ for all $i \in [a,b]$, where a and b are integers | |